

Kane County Road Improvement Impact Fee
Advisory Committee

Kane County Government Center
Auditorium

Meeting Minutes – September 6, 2011

Members in Attendance:

Tom VanCleave, County Board
Frank Griffin, Count Board
Ron Ewing, Remax Horizon
Rich Guerard, Wyndham Deerpoint Homes
Larry Keller, Village of West Dundee
Dale Berman, Village of North Aurora
Catherine Hurlbut, County Board
Tim Haley, County Board

Others Present:

Steve Coffinbargar, Kane Co. Division of Transportation
Jerry Dickson, Kane Co. Division of Transportation
Carl Schoedel, Kane County Engineer
Tom Rickert, Kane Co. Division of Transportation

I. Call to order

Chairman VanCleave called the Kane County Road Improvement Impact Fee Advisory Committee meeting to order at 8:00 a.m.

II. Roll call

A quorum was established with eight voting members present.

III. Public Comment – None

IV. Approval of Minutes (August 24, 2011)

The minutes were approved on motion by Keller, seconded by Berman – carried by a voice vote of 8-0.

V. Receiving Communications – None

VI. Reports

a. Consultant Report - Public Hearing on Land Use Assumptions and Summary of Comment Received and Recommended Land Use Assumptions

The consultant (CH2M HILL) provided a summary of the public hearing held on August 31 as well as the comments received during and after the meeting, the proposed response to comments and the recommendation for the adoption of the land use update. The following questions were raised:

Q: Why on the graph showing the change in population do we not see a greater decrease in the Census data around the year 2010? Is this because there are still births within the county even with the economic downturn? I suppose a greater change would be seen for those years in Households and Employment.

A: Yes, a greater change would be seen for those years in Households and Employment.

Q: Clarification was requested regarding the level of accuracy of the data displayed from the different agencies: KDOT, CMAP and the Census.

A: The level of accuracy between the KDOT, CMAP and Census data were discussed in more detail.

Q: Did we account for the shifts in population that occur as a result of an elderly couple selling their home to a younger family with no change in the number of households?

A: Yes, these types of changes would have been accounted for in Kane County's 2040 vision.

Q: Does anyone have any further questions/comments about Shodeen's comment letter?

A: No further concerns were voiced.

b. Recommended Land Use Assumptions

Tom Rickert suggested that any final concerns from St. Charles and Sugar Grove should be addressed prior to finalizing the land use assumptions.

Chairman Tom Van Cleave suggested that the assumptions be passed subject to any minor tweaks from of St. Charles and Sugar Grove.

The land use assumptions were approved on motion by Keller, seconded by Griffin— carried by a voice vote of 8-0.

VII. Old Business – None

VIII. New Business

a. Next Meeting

September/October - TBD.

b. Discussion Items

Berman complimented the staff on addressing the community's questions and properly defending and explaining their work that was correct in the first place.

Catherine Hurlbut inquired if there would be any expected changes to the CRIP as part of this process. Carl Schoedel responded explaining that many of the same projects will be present in the updated CRIP, however projects such as the Stearns Road corridor will be removed since they have already been built. There will also be the addition of some new projects to the CRIP.

IX. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 8:32. Motion to adjourn: Hurlbut, seconded: Haley.